arXiv:1702.05852v1 [math.PR] 20 Feb 2017

Some asymptotic results for nonlinear Hawkes processes

Fuqing Gao [1], Lingjiong Zhu [2]

February 21, 2017

Abstract

Hawkes process is a class of simple point processes with self-exciting and clustering properties. Hawkes process has been widely applied in finance, neuroscience, social networks, criminology, seismology, and many other fields. In this paper, we study a new asymptotic regime for nonlinear Hawkes processes, the large rate function and the small exciting function regime. It corresponds to the large baseline intensity regime for the linear case. We will study the fluctuations, large deviations and moderate deviations in this asymptotic regime.

1 Introduction

Let N be a simple point process on $\mathbb{R}$ and let $\mathcal{F}_t^{-\infty} := \sigma(N(C), C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), C \subset (-\infty, t])$ be an increasing family of σ-algebras. Any nonnegative $\mathcal{F}_t^{-\infty}$-progressively measurable process λ_t with

$$\mathbb{E}\left[N(a,b]|\mathcal{F}_a^{-\infty}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_a^b \lambda_s ds \big| \mathcal{F}_a^{-\infty}\right],$$

a.s. for all intervals $(a, b]$ is called an $\mathcal{F}_t^{-\infty}$-intensity of N. We use the notation $N_t := N(0, t]$ to denote the number of points in the interval $(0, t]$.

A Hawkes process is a simple point process N admitting an $\mathcal{F}_t^{-\infty}$-intensity

$$\lambda_t := \phi\left(\int_{-\infty}^{t-} h(t-s)N(ds)\right), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\phi(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is locally integrable, left continuous, $h(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ and we always assume that $\|h\|_{L^1} = \int_0^\infty h(t)dt < \infty$. In (1.1), $\int_{-\infty}^{t-} h(t-s)N(ds)$ stands for $\sum_{\tau<t} h(t-\tau)$, where τ are the occurrences of the points before time t. In the literature, $h(\cdot)$ and $\phi(\cdot)$ are usually referred to as exciting function (or sometimes kernel function) and rate function respectively. A Hawkes process is linear if $\phi(\cdot)$ is linear and it is nonlinear otherwise.

The Hawkes process when $\phi(\cdot)$ is linear was first proposed by Alan Hawkes in 1971 to model earthquakes and their aftershocks [19]. The nonlinear Hawkes process was first introduced by Brémaud and Massoulié [4]. The Hawkes process naturally generalizes the Poisson process and it captures both the self-exciting property and the clustering effect,

[1]School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, People's Republic of China; fqgao@whu.edu.cn

[2]Department of Mathematics, Florida State University, 1017 Academic Way, Tallahassee, FL-32306, United States of America; zhu@math.fsu.edu.

and it is a very versatile model for statistical analysis. These explain why it has wide applications in neuroscience, genome analysis, criminology, social networks, healthcare, seismology, insurance, finance and many other fields. For a list of references, we refer to [32].

Most of the asymptotic results for Hawkes processes in the literature are the large time limit theorems. For the linear Hawkes process, the functional law of large numbers and functional central limit theorems were studied in Bacry et al. [1]; the large deviations principle was studied in Bordenave and Torrisi [3]; and the moderate deviation principle was obtained in Zhu [34]. The precise large and moderate deviations are recently studied in Gao and Zhu [15]. For the nonlinear Hawkes process, Zhu [33] studied the functional central limit theorems by using Poisson embeddings and a careful analysis of the decay of the correlations over time. In [35], Zhu obtained a process-level, i.e. level-3 large deviation principle and the rate function is expressed as a variational problem optimizing over a certain entropy function of any simple point process against the underlying nonlinear Hawkes process. When the exciting function is exponential and the process is Markovian, an alternative expression for the rate function for the large deviations was obtained in Zhu [36]. Very recently, using the techniques as a combination of Poisson embeddings, Stein's method and Malliavin calculus, the quantitative Gaussian and Poisson approximations were studied in Torrisi [29, 30]. The Malliavin calculus for Hawkes processes has also appeared in [28]. In the case of linear Hawkes process, the limit theorems for nearly unstable, also known as, nearly critical case, that is, when $\phi(z) = \nu + z$ and $\|h\|_{L^1} \approx 1$ are studied in Jaisson and Rosenbaum [21] when the exciting function has light tail and in Jaisson and Rosenbaum [22] when the exciting function has heavy tail.

There have been some progress made in the direction of asymptotic results other than the large time limits. For instance, when the exciting function is exponential, the intensity process and the pair (N_t, λ_t) are Markovian. In Gao and Zhu [16], they studied the functional central limit theorems for the linear Hawkes process when the initial intensity is large, and they further studied the large deviations and applied their results to insurance and queueing systems in [17]. For the more general linear and non-Markovian case, Gao and Zhu [18] considered the large baseline intensity asymptotic results and studied the applications to queueing systems.

In recent years, the mean-field limit for high dimensional Hawkes processes has also been studied. It was first studied in Delattre et al. [10]. They showed that under a certain setting, the mean-field limit is an inhomogeneous Poisson process. Other mean-field limit works include Chevallier [8] who studied a generalized Hawkes process model with an inclusion of the dependence on the age of the process, and Delattre and Fournier [9] who studied the mean-field limit for Hawkes processes on a graph with two nodes whether or not influence each other modeled by i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables.

In this paper, we are interested in studying a new asymptotic regime for the nonlinear Hawkes process starting from empty past history, in which the rate function is large and the exciting function is small. More precisely, we introduce the small parameter $\epsilon > 0$ and

consider the nonlinear Hawkes process N_t^ϵ with intensity:

$$\lambda_t^\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi\left(\int_0^{t-} \epsilon h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon\right). \tag{1.2}$$

In this asymptotic regime, the pair of the rate function and the exciting function has the transformation $(\phi, h) \mapsto (\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi, \epsilon h)$.

Now, let us explain why this asymptotic regime is natural and also point out that such a regime has been studied extensively in many similar settings in the literature.

When the Hawkes process is linear, say $\phi(z) = \nu + z$, where ν is the baseline intensity, we have

$$\lambda_t^\epsilon = \frac{\nu}{\epsilon} + \int_0^{t-} h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon. \tag{1.3}$$

This gives the intensity of a linear Hawkes process with exciting function h, and a large baseline intensity $\frac{\nu}{\epsilon}$. Therefore, the asymptotic regime considered in this paper corresponds to the large baseline intensity regime that is studied in Gao and Zhu [18].

Let us recall that the nonlinear Hawkes process N_t^ϵ with the rate function $\frac{\phi}{\epsilon}$ and the exciting function ϵh can be expressed via Poisson embedding as the unique strong solution to the following equation:

$$N_t^\epsilon = \int_0^t \int_0^\infty 1_{[0, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi(\int_0^s \epsilon h(s-u)dN_u^\epsilon)]}(z)\pi(dzds), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\pi(dzds)$ is a Poisson random measure on $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^+$ with intensity 1.

We are interested in the asymptotics for $Z_t^\epsilon := \epsilon N_t^\epsilon$, which satisfies the dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t^\epsilon &:= \epsilon \int_0^t \int_0^\infty 1_{[0, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon)]}(z)\pi(dzds) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^\infty 1_{[0, \phi(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon)]}(z)\epsilon\pi^{\epsilon^{-1}}(dzds). \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where $\pi^{\epsilon^{-1}}(dzds)$ is a Poisson random measure on $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^+$ with intensity ϵ^{-1}. Such scalings for stochastic equations with Poisson noise have been widely studied in the literature, see e.g. Budhiraja et al. [6], Budhiraja et al. [7], Budhiraja et al. [5]. The large deviations and moderate deviations for stochastic equations with jumps can be established usually using the variational representation in [6]. However, in our case, the coefficient of the dynamics (1.5) is a indicator function with path-dependency, which is not continuous. As a result, we cannot apply the results from [6, 7] directly. Instead of pursuing a modification of the variational representation approach in [6, 7], we will adopt a more direct approach to establish large and moderate deviations in our paper.

We organize this paper as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the main results of the paper. We will study fluctuations in Section 2.1, large deviations in Section 2.2 and moderate deviations in Section 2.3. Finally, all the proofs will be given in Section 3.

2 Main Results

Before we proceed, let us summarize here a list of key assumptions that will be used throughout the paper.

Assumption 1. *$\phi(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is α-Lipschitz for some $0 < \alpha < \infty$. $h(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is locally integrable and locally bounded.*

Assumption 2. *h is differentiable and $|h'|$ is locally integrable.*

Assumption 3. *$\phi(\cdot)$ is α-Lipschitz and $\alpha\|h\|_{L^1[0,T]} < 1$.*

Assumption 4. *$\phi(\cdot)$ is twice differentiable and $\|\phi''\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$.*

Assumption 5. *$\inf_{x\geq 0} \phi(x) > 0$, h is differentiable and $\|h'\|_{L^\infty[0,T]} < \infty$.*

We collect here a set of notations that will be used throughout the paper.

- $C[0,T]$ is the space of real-valued continuous functions on $[0,T]$;
- $D[0,T]$ is the space of real-valued càdlàg functions on $[0,T]$ equipped with Skorokhod topology;
- $\mathcal{AC}_0[0,T]$ is the space of functions $f : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ that are absolutely continuous with $f(0) = 0$;
- $\mathcal{AC}_0^+[0,T]$ is the space of non-decreasing functions $f : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ that are absolutely continuous with $f(0) = 0$.

2.1 Fluctuations

In this section, we are interested to study the fluctuations of Z^ϵ around its limit Z^0. We will obtain a functional central limit theorem for Z^ϵ.

As $\epsilon \to 0$, Z_t^ϵ will converge on $D[0,T]$ to a deterministic process Z_t^0 that satisfies the equation:

$$Z_t^0 = \int_0^t \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right) ds. \tag{2.1}$$

Indeed, this result will follow from the fluctuation result for Z_t^ϵ, that is, we will show that $\frac{Z_t^\epsilon - Z_t^0}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ converges in distribution on $D[0,T]$ to a non-trivial stochastic limit, which turns out to be a continuous Gaussian process. Let us notice that the equation (2.1) has a unique locally bounded and non-negative solution under certain assumptions, see Delattre [10]. It is interesting that the mean of the inhomogeneous Poisson process as the mean-field limit for high dimensional Hawkes processes leads to the same limiting equation as in (2.1).

Let us define:

$$X_t^\epsilon = \frac{Z_t^\epsilon - Z_t^0}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$M_t^\epsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \left(Z_t^\epsilon - \int_0^t \phi \left(\int_0^s h(s-u) dZ_u^\epsilon \right) ds \right). \tag{2.3}$$

Then $\{M_t^\epsilon, t \geq 0\}$ is a martingale and its predictable quadratic variation is given by:

$$\langle M^\epsilon \rangle_t = \int_0^t \phi \left(\int_0^s h(s-u) dZ_u^\epsilon \right) ds. \tag{2.4}$$

Theorem 6. *Suppose Assumption 1 and Assumption 2 hold, X^ϵ converges in distribution on $D[0,T]$ to a continuous Gaussian process X_t defined by*

$$X_t = \int_0^t \phi' \left(\int_0^s h(s-u) dZ_u^0 \right) \int_0^s h(s-u) dX_u ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{\phi \left(\int_0^s h(s-u) dZ_u^0 \right)} dW_s, \tag{2.5}$$

where W_t is a standard Brownian motion.

A key component of the proof of Theorem 6 is the tightness of the sequence X^ϵ on $D[0,T]$ that we will establish in the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *Suppose Assumption 1 and Assumption 2 hold, X_t^ϵ is tight on $D[0,T]$ and the all limits are in $C[0,T]$.*

The proof of the tightness of X^ϵ, relies on two auxiliary lemmas. The first lemma, i.e. Lemma 8 gives a uniform bound on the first moment of Z_T^ϵ, uniformly in ϵ, and the second lemma, i.e. Lemma 9, gives us a uniform bound on the second moment of the running maximum of X^ϵ process, uniformly in ϵ.

Lemma 8. *Suppose Assumption 1 holds.*

$$\sup_{\epsilon > 0} \mathbb{E}[Z_T^\epsilon] \leq \phi(0) T e^{\alpha \|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]} T}. \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 9. *Suppose Assumption 1 and Assumption 2 hold,*

$$\sup_{\epsilon > 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (X_t^\epsilon)^2 \right] < \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

The proofs of Theorem 6, Lemma 7, Lemma 8 and Lemma 9 will all be given in Section 3.

Remark 10. *Note that [33] studied the large time fluctuations for stationary nonlinear Hawkes processes and more precisely, as a special case for the linear Hawkes process* $\phi(x) = \nu + x$*, we have* $\frac{N_{nt}-\mu t}{\sqrt{n}} \to \sigma B(t)$ *in distribution on* $D[0,T]$ *as* $n \to \infty$*, where* $\mu = \frac{\nu}{1-\|h\|_{L^1}}$ *and* $\sigma^2 = \frac{\nu}{(1-\|h\|_{L^1})^3}$*, and* $B(t)$ *is a standard Brownian motion. Note that for the large time functional central limit theorem, the limiting variance depends on* $\|h\|_{L^1}$ *only, while in our Theorem 6, it depends on the entire exciting function* $h(t)$ *for* $t \in [0,T]$*. Moreover, in our limit, we obtain a Gaussian process that in general is not a Brownian motion.*

2.2 Large deviations

We have already seen that Z_t^ϵ converges to the limit Z_t^0 on $D[0,T]$ and have studied the fluctuations around this limit. It is natural to ask about the probability of the rare events that the process Z_t^ϵ deviates away from its deterministic limit. That is the question of large deviations in probability theory.

We start by giving a formal definition of the large deviation principle. We refer to Dembo and Zeitouni [11] and Varadhan [31] for general background of large deviations and the applications.

A sequence $(P_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ of probability measures on a topological space X satisfies the large deviation principle with rate function $I : X \to \mathbb{R}$ and speed $b(\epsilon)$ if I is non-negative, lower semicontinuous and for any measurable set A, we have

$$-\inf_{x \in A^o} I(x) \le \liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{b(\epsilon)} \log P_\epsilon(A) \le \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{b(\epsilon)} \log P_\epsilon(A) \le -\inf_{x \in \overline{A}} I(x). \tag{2.8}$$

Here, A^o is the interior of A and $\overline{A}$ is its closure.

Now, we are ready to state the main results of large deviations for Z_t^ϵ on $D[0,T]$.

Theorem 11. *Suppose Assumption 1, Assumption 3 and Assumption 5 hold. Then,* $\mathbb{P}(Z_t^\epsilon \in \cdot)$ *satisfies a large deviation principle on* $D[0,T]$ *equipped with Skorokhod topology with the speed* ϵ^{-1} *and the rate function*

$$I(\eta) := \int_0^T \ell\left(\eta'(t); \phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right) dt, \tag{2.9}$$

if $\eta \in \mathcal{AC}_0^+[0,T]$ *and* $+\infty$ *otherwise, where*

$$\ell(x;y) := x \log\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) - x + y. \tag{2.10}$$

Instead of establishing a full large deviation principle in Theorem 11 directly, our strategy is to first prove a local large deviation principle in Theorem 12, with the main tool being the change of measure technique for simple point processes. We then establish the exponential tightness in order to obtain a full large deviation principle.

We have the following local large deviation principle.

Theorem 12. *Suppose Assumption 1 and Assumption 5 hold. For any $\eta \in \mathcal{AC}_0^+[0,T]$,*

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\epsilon\log\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|\le\delta\right)=-I(\eta), \tag{2.11}$$

where $I(\eta)$ is defined in (2.9).

Next, let us establish the exponential tightness of the sequence Z_t^ϵ on $D[0,T]$. The following Lemma 13 and Lemma 14, together with the local large deviation principle will provide us the full large deviation principle that is desired.

Lemma 13. *Suppose Assumption 1, Assumption 3 hold. Then,*

$$\limsup_{K\to\infty}\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0}\epsilon\log\mathbb{P}(Z_T^\epsilon\ge K)=-\infty. \tag{2.12}$$

Lemma 14. *Suppose Assumption 1, Assumption 3 hold. For any $\delta>0$,*

$$\limsup_{M\to\infty}\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0}\epsilon\log\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le t\le T,|t-s|\le\frac{1}{M}}|Z_t^\epsilon-Z_s^\epsilon|\ge\delta\right)=-\infty. \tag{2.13}$$

Remark 15. *Theorem 12, Lemma 13 and Lemma 14 provide actually the large deviation principle for Z_t^ϵ with respect to the uniform topology on $D[0,T]$, see e.g. Lemma A.1 in [12], or Theorem 4.14 [13].*

Remark 16. *In [3], they obtained a sample path large deviation principle for the large time scaling for Poisson cluster processes. More precisely, the linear Hawkes process with $\phi(x)=\nu+x$, as a special case of the Poisson cluster process, has the sample path large deviation principle that $\mathbb{P}(\frac{N_{n\cdot}}{n}\in\cdot)$ satisfies a large deviation principle on $D[0,T]$ equipped with the topology of point-wise convergence with the speed n and the rate function $\int_0^T\mathcal{I}(f'(t))dt$ if $f\in\mathcal{AC}_0[0,T]$ and $+\infty$ otherwise, where*

$$\mathcal{I}(x):=x\log\left(\frac{x}{\nu+x\|h\|_{L^1}}\right)-x+x\|h\|_{L^1}+\nu, \tag{2.14}$$

for $x\ge 0$ and $+\infty$ otherwise. Note that since the assumption (37) in [3] is not satisfied for the linear Hawkes process, the their large deviations results apply to the topology of point-wise convergence, but not the uniform topology. Our results in Theorem 11 differ in two ways. First, our rate function depends on the entire function $h(t)$, $0\le t\le T$, rather than $\|h\|_{L^1}$ as in (2.14). *Second, we allow uniform topology for the sample path large deviation principle.*

2.3 Moderate Deviations

In this section, we are interested in the moderate deviations for Z_t^ϵ. The moderate deviation principle fills in the gap between the central limit theorem and the large deviation principle. For a brief introduction to moderate deviations, we refer to Chap. 3.7. in Dembo and Zeitouni [11].

Our approach to the proof of the moderate deviations is similar to that of the large deviations. That is, we first establish a local moderate deviation principle by using the change of measure technique, i.e. Theorem 18, and then establish the appropriate exponential tightness estimates, i.e. Lemma 19 and Lemma 20.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 17. *Suppose Assumption 1, Assumption 2, Assumption 3, Assumption 4 and Assumption 5 hold. Let $a(\epsilon)$ be a positive sequence such that $a(\epsilon), \frac{\epsilon}{a(\epsilon)^2} \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Then, $\mathbb{P}(\frac{Z_t^\epsilon - Z_t^0}{a(\epsilon)} \in \cdot)$ satisfies a large deviation principle on $D[0,T]$ equipped with Skorokhod topology with speed $\frac{a(\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon}$ and the rate function*

$$J(\eta) := \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \frac{\left(\eta'(t) - \phi'\left(\int_0^t h(t-u)dZ_u^0\right)\int_0^t h(t-u)d\eta_u\right)^2}{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-u)dZ_u^0\right)}dt, \tag{2.15}$$

if $\eta \in \mathcal{AC}_0[0,T]$ and $+\infty$ otherwise.

We first establish a local moderate deviation principle:

Theorem 18. *Suppose Assumption 1, Assumption 4 and Assumption 5 hold. For any $\eta \in \mathcal{AC}_0[0,T]$,*

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{a^2(\epsilon)}\log\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\frac{Z_t^\epsilon - Z_t^0}{a(\epsilon)} - \eta_t\right| \le \delta\right) = -J(\eta),$$

where $J(\eta)$ is given in (2.15).

Next, we establish the exponential tightness of the sequence $\frac{Z_t^\epsilon - Z_t^0}{a(\epsilon)}$ on $D[0,T]$ in the following lemmas.

Lemma 19. *Suppose Assumption 1, Assumption 2, Assumption 3 hold.*

$$\limsup_{K\to\infty}\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{a(\epsilon)^2}\log\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon - Z_t^0| \ge Ka(\epsilon)\right) = -\infty. \tag{2.16}$$

Lemma 20. *Suppose Assumption 1, Assumption 2, Assumption 3 hold. For any $\delta > 0$,*

$$\limsup_{M\to\infty}\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{a(\epsilon)^2}\log\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le t\le T, |t-s|\le\frac{1}{M}}|Z_t^\epsilon - Z_s^\epsilon - Z_t^0 + Z_s^0| \ge \delta a(\epsilon)\right) = -\infty. \tag{2.17}$$

Remark 21. *(i). Theorem 18, Lemma 19 and Lemma 20 provide actually the moderate deviation principle for Z_t^ϵ with respect to the uniform topology on $D[0,T]$, see e.g. Lemma A.1 in [12], or Theorem 4.14 [13].*

(ii). For stochastic dynamics driven by Brownian motion, the limit of its standardization is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process driven by the same Brownian motion, and so, the fluctuations and the moderate deviations can be established by estimating deviation inequality of the standardization with the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process (see,e.g. [14]). That approach cannot be applied to stochastic dynamics with jumps in our paper.

3 Proofs

3.1 Proofs for Section 2.1

Before we prove Theorem 6, let us first give the proofs of Lemma 8, Lemma 9, and Lemma 7.

Proof of Lemma 8. Notice that for any $0 \le t \le T$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[Z_t^\epsilon] &= \mathbb{E}\int_0^t \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right)ds \\ &\le \phi(0)t + \alpha\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon ds \\ &\le \phi(0)t + \alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}[Z_s^\epsilon]ds.\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The result follows from the Gronwall's inequality. □

Proof of Lemma 9. Notice that

$$X_t^\epsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}M_t^\epsilon + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\left[\int_0^t \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right)ds - \int_0^t \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)ds\right], \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$M_t^\epsilon = Z_t^\epsilon - \int_0^t \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right)ds \tag{3.3}$$

is a martingale. For any $0 \le t \le T$,

$$\begin{aligned}|X_t^\epsilon| &\le \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\sup_{0\le t\le T}|M_t^\epsilon| + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\int_0^t\left|\phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right) - \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\right|ds \\ &\le \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\sup_{0\le t\le T}|M_t^\epsilon| + \alpha\left[h(0) + \int_0^T |h'(t)|dt\right]\int_0^t \sup_{0\le u\le s}|X_u^\epsilon|ds\end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality,

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}|X_t^\epsilon| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\sup_{0\le t\le T}|M_t^\epsilon| e^{\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T |h'(t)|dt\right]T}. \tag{3.4}$$

Finally, by Doob's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|M_t^\epsilon|\right)^2\right] &\le \frac{4}{\epsilon}\mathbb{E}\left[(M_T^\epsilon)^2\right] \\ &= 4\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right)ds \\ &= 4\mathbb{E}[Z_T^\epsilon],\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where we have proved in Lemma 8 that $\mathbb{E}[Z_T^\epsilon]$ is uniformly bounded in ϵ. □

Proof of Lemma 7. Let us recall that

$$X_t^\epsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}M_t^\epsilon + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\left[\int_0^t \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right)ds - \int_0^t \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)ds\right], \tag{3.6}$$

where M_t^ϵ is a martingale and it is standard to show that for any $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le s,t\le T,|s-t|\le\delta}\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}M_t^\epsilon - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}M_s^\epsilon\right| \ge \eta\right) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Moreover, for any $0 \le t \le t+\delta \le T$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\int_0^{t+\delta}\left[\phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right) - \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\right]ds\right. \\ &\qquad \left. - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\int_0^t\left[\phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right) - \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\right]ds\right| \\ &\le \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\int_t^{t+\delta}\left|\phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right) - \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\right|ds \\ &\le \delta\alpha\left[h(0) + \int_0^T |h'(t)|dt\right]\sup_{0\le t\le T}|X_t^\epsilon|.\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

It follows from Lemma 9 that the sequence

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\int_0^t\left[\phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right) - \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\right]ds \tag{3.9}$$

is tight on $C[0,T]$. Hence, for any $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le s,t\le T,|s-t|\le\delta}|X_t^\epsilon - X_s^\epsilon| \ge \eta\right) = 0, \tag{3.10}$$

which implies that the sequence X_t^ϵ is tight on $D[0,T]$ and the all limits are in $C[0,T]$ by Theorem 15.5 [2].

□

We are now finally ready to give the proof of the fluctuations results in Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 6. In Lemma 7, we showed that the sequence X_t^ϵ is tight on $D[0,T]$. Let X be a limit point of X^ϵ, and X is continuous in t. Since M_t^ϵ, $(M_t^\epsilon)^2 - \langle M^\epsilon\rangle_t$, $0 < \epsilon \le \epsilon_0$ are uniformly integrable martingales, and

$$X_t^\epsilon - \int_0^t \phi'\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\int_0^s h(s-u)dX_u^\epsilon ds = M_t^\epsilon + \mathcal{E}_t^2 + \mathcal{E}_t^3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&(M_t^\epsilon)^2 - \langle M^\epsilon\rangle_t\\ &= \left|Y_t^\epsilon - \int_0^t \phi'\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\int_0^s h(s-u)dY_u^\epsilon ds\right|^2 - \int_0^t \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right)ds,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_t^2 = \int_0^t\left[\phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right) - \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\right]ds, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_t^3 = &\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\left[\int_0^t \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right)ds - \int_0^t \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)ds\right]\\ &- \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\int_0^t \phi'\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\left[\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon - \int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right]ds,\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

we conclude that,

$$M_t := X_t - \int_0^t \phi'\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\int_0^s h(s-u)dX_u ds,$$

and

$$N_t := \left(X_t - \int_0^t \phi'\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\int_0^s h(s-u)dX_u ds\right)^2 - \int_0^t \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)ds$$

are martingales. Since X_t is continuous in time t, by Lévy's characterization of Brownian motion and martingale representation theorem, see e.g. Chapter IV Theorem 3.6. and

Chapter V Proposition 3.8. [26], there exists a standard Brownian motion W_t, such that (2.5) holds.

Finally, let us show that the limit X_t is a Gaussian process. Set $X_t^{(0)} := 0$ and

$$X_t^{(1)} := \int_0^t \sqrt{\phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)}dW_s, \tag{3.13}$$

and for every $n \geq 1$,

$$X_t^{(n+1)} := \int_0^t \phi'\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\int_0^s h(s-u)dX_u^{(n)}ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{\phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)}dW_s. \tag{3.14}$$

Then $\{X_t^{(n)}, t \in [0,T]\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a sequence of Gaussian processes. Moreover, we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
&X_t^{(n+1)} - X_t^{(n)} \\
&= \int_0^t \phi'\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\int_0^s h(s-u)d(X_u^{(n)} - X_u^{(n-1)})ds \\
&= \int_0^t \phi'\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\left[h(0)(X_s^{(n)} - X_s^{(n-1)}) + \int_0^s (X_u^{(n)} - X_u^{(n-1)})h'(s-u)du\right]ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the integration by parts and $X_0^{(n)} = X_0^{(n-1)} = 0$. Set $\Phi^{(n)}(t) := \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|X_s^{(n)} - X_s^{(n-1)}|$. Then for every $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{(n+1)}(t) &\leq \alpha\int_0^t \left(h(0) + \int_0^s |h'(s-u)|du\right)\Phi^{(n)}(s)ds \\
&\leq \alpha(h(0) + \|h'\|_{L^1[0,T]})\int_0^t \Phi^{(n)}(s)ds,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\Phi^{(n+1)}(T) \leq \frac{(\alpha(h(0) + \|h'\|_{L^1[0,T]})T)^n}{n!}\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\Phi^{(1)}(t), \tag{3.15}$$

which yields that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Phi^{(n)}(T)\right] < \infty. \tag{3.16}$$

Thus, almost surely, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Phi^{(n)}(T) < \infty$. Hence, there exists a unique continuous Gaussian process $X_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(X_t^{(n+1)} - X_t^{(n)})$ such that $\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|X_t^{(n)} - X_t| \to 0$ and

$$X_t = \int_0^t \phi'\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\int_0^s h(s-u)dX_u ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{\phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)}dW_s. \tag{3.17}$$

□

3.2 Proofs for Section 2.2

The proof of the Theorem 11 relies on the proof of the local large deviation principle in Theorem 12 and the proof of the super-exponential estimates in Lemma 13 and Lemma 14.

Proof of Theorem 12. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ be the probability measure under which N^ϵ is a standard Poisson process with intensity $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$. Since ϕ is α-Lipschitz, we have

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi\left(\int_0^{t-}\epsilon h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon\right) \le \frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi(0)+\frac{\alpha}{\epsilon}\int_0^{t-}\epsilon h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon \le \frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi(0)+\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}N_{t-}. \quad (3.18)$$

That is, the intensity has at most the linear growth in N_{t-}. Moreover, under our assumption, we have $\inf_{x\ge 0}\phi(x) > 0$. Thus, $\mathbb{P}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ are equivalent, and the Radon-Nikodym is given by, see e.g. [27],

$$\left.\frac{d\mathbb{P}}{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_T} = e^{\int_0^T \log\left(\frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi\left(\int_0^{t-}\epsilon h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon\right)}{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right)dN_t^\epsilon-\int_0^T\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi\left(\int_0^t\epsilon h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon\right)-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right]ds}. \quad (3.19)$$

By changing of the probability measure $\mathbb{P}$ to $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|\le\delta\right) \quad (3.20)\\
&=\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{\int_0^T \log\left(\frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi\left(\int_0^{t-}\epsilon h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon\right)}{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right)dN_t^\epsilon-\int_0^T\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi\left(\int_0^t\epsilon h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon\right)-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right]ds}1_{\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|\le\delta}\right]\\
&=\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^T\log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^{t-}h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right)\right)dZ_t^\epsilon-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^T\left[\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right)-1\right]ds}1_{\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|\le\delta}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|\le\delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^T\log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^{t-}h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right)\right)dZ_t^\epsilon-\int_0^T\log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)d\eta(t)\right| \quad (3.21)\\
&\le\left|\int_0^T\log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)dZ_t^\epsilon-\int_0^T\log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)d\eta(t)\right|\\
&\quad+\left|\int_0^T\log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^{t-}h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right)\right)dZ_t^\epsilon-\int_0^T\log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)dZ_t^\epsilon\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

From integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^T \log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)dZ_t^\epsilon - \int_0^T \log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)d\eta(t)\right| \qquad (3.22)\\
&\le \left|\int_0^T \frac{\frac{d}{dt}\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)}{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)} Z_t^\epsilon dt - \int_0^T \frac{\frac{d}{dt}\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)}{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)}\eta(t)dt\right|\\
&\qquad + \left|\log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^T h(T-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)Z_T^\epsilon - \log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^T h(T-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)\eta(T)\right|\\
&\le \sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|\frac{\alpha}{\inf_{x\ge 0}\phi(x)}\int_0^T\left|\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right|dt\\
&\qquad + \sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|\left|\log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^T h(T-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)\right|\\
&= \sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|\frac{\alpha}{\inf_{x\ge 0}\phi(x)}\int_0^T\left|h(0)\eta'(t)+\int_0^t h'(t-s)\eta'(s)\right|dt\\
&\qquad + \sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|\left|\log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^T h(T-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)\right|\\
&\le \sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|\frac{\alpha}{\inf_{x\ge 0}\phi(x)}(h(0)+\|h'\|_{L^\infty[0,T]})(\eta(T)+T\eta(T))\\
&\qquad + \sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|\left[|\log\phi(0)|+\frac{\alpha}{\inf_{x\ge 0}\phi(x)}\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}\eta(T)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can estimate that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^T \log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^{t-} h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right)\right)dZ_t^\epsilon - \int_0^T \log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)dZ_t^\epsilon\right| \qquad (3.23)\\
&\le \sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right)\right) - \log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)\right| Z_T^\epsilon\\
&\le \frac{\alpha}{\inf_{x\ge 0}\phi(x)}\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon - \int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right|[\eta(T)+\delta]\\
&\le \frac{\alpha}{\inf_{x\ge 0}\phi(x)}\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|[\eta(T)+\delta].
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can estimate that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^T\left[\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right)-1\right]ds - \int_0^T\left[\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)-1\right]ds\right| \qquad (3.24)\\
&\le T\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since N^ϵ is a standard Poisson process with intensity $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ under the probability measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$, it is well known that, see, e.g. [24, 25], $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(Z_t^\epsilon \in \cdot)$ satisfies a large deviation principle on $D[0,T]$ with the rate function

$$\int_0^T \ell(\eta'(t);1)dt, \tag{3.25}$$

for any $\eta \in \mathcal{AC}_0^+[0,T]$ and $+\infty$ otherwise, where $\ell(\cdot)$ is defined in (2.10).

Hence, we conclude that

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\epsilon\log\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{\int_0^T \log\left(\frac{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi\left(\int_0^{t-}\epsilon h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon\right)}{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}\right)dN_t^\epsilon - \int_0^T\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi\left(\int_0^t \epsilon h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon\right)-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right]ds} 1_{\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|\le\delta}\right] \tag{3.26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^T \log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)d\eta(t) - \int_0^T\left[\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)-1\right]ds \\
&\quad + \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\epsilon\log\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta(t)|\le\delta\right) \\
&= \int_0^T \log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)d\eta(t) - \int_0^T\left[\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)-1\right]ds \\
&\quad - \int_0^T \ell(\eta'(t);1)dt \\
&= -\int_0^T \ell\left(\eta'(t);\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)\right)\right)dt.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 13. For any $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$, $e^{\theta N_T^\epsilon - \int_0^T(e^\theta-1)\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi(\int_0^t\epsilon h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon)dt}$ is a positive local martingale, hence a supermartingale, and thus for any $\theta>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &\ge \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta N_T^\epsilon - \int_0^T(e^\theta-1)\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi(\int_0^t\epsilon h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon)dt}\right] \\
&\ge \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta N_T^\epsilon - (e^\theta-1)\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi(0)T - (e^\theta-1)\alpha\int_0^T\int_0^t h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon dt}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta N_T^\epsilon - (e^\theta-1)\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi(0)T - (e^\theta-1)\alpha\int_0^T[\int_s^T h(t-s)dt]dN_u^\epsilon}\right] \\
&\ge \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta N_T^\epsilon - (e^\theta-1)\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi(0)T - (e^\theta-1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^1[0,T]}N_T^\epsilon}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Since we assumed that $\alpha\|h\|_{L^1[0,T]}<1$, for sufficiently small $\theta>0$, we have $\theta-(e^\theta-1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^1[0,T]}>0$. It follows that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(\theta-(e^\theta-1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^1[0,T]})N_T^\epsilon}\right] \le e^{(e^\theta-1)\phi(0)T\frac{1}{\epsilon}}. \tag{3.28}$$

Therefore, by Chebychev's inequality, for sufficiently small $\theta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(Z_T^\epsilon \geq K) &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{(\theta-(e^\theta-1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^1[0,T]})N_T^\epsilon}\right] e^{-(\theta-(e^\theta-1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^1[0,T]})\frac{K}{\epsilon}} \\ &\leq e^{(e^\theta-1)\phi(0)T\frac{1}{\epsilon}} e^{-(\theta-(e^\theta-1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^1[0,T]})\frac{K}{\epsilon}},\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

which yields the desired result. □

Proof of Lemma 14. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $MT \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\leq s\leq t\leq T, |t-s|\leq\frac{1}{M}} |Z_t^\epsilon - Z_s^\epsilon| \geq \delta\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\exists j, 1 \leq j \leq MT : Z^\epsilon_{\frac{j}{M}} - Z^\epsilon_{\frac{j-1}{M}} \geq \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{MT} \mathbb{P}\left(N^\epsilon_{\frac{j}{M}} - N^\epsilon_{\frac{j-1}{M}} \geq \frac{\delta}{2\epsilon}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

For any $\theta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta(N^\epsilon_{j/M}-N^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M})-(e^\theta-1)\int_{(j-1)/M}^{j/M}\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi(\int_0^t \epsilon h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon)dt}\right] \\ &\geq e^{-(e^\theta-1)\phi(0)\frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{1}{M}} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta(N^\epsilon_{j/M}-N^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M})-(e^\theta-1)\alpha\int_{(j-1)/M}^{j/M}\int_0^t h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon dt}\right] \\ &\geq e^{-(e^\theta-1)\phi(0)\frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{1}{M}} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta(N^\epsilon_{j/M}-N^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M})-(e^\theta-1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}N_T^\epsilon\frac{1}{M}}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Therefore, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{\theta}{2}(N^\epsilon_{j/M}-N^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M})}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{\theta}{2}(N^\epsilon_{j/M}-N^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M})-\frac{1}{2}(e^\theta-1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}N_T^\epsilon\frac{1}{M}} e^{\frac{1}{2}(e^\theta-1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}N_T^\epsilon\frac{1}{M}}\right] \\ &\leq \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta(N^\epsilon_{j/M}-N^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M})-(e^\theta-1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}N_T^\epsilon\frac{1}{M}}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(e^\theta-1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}N_T^\epsilon\frac{1}{M}}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq e^{\frac{1}{2}(e^\theta-1)\phi(0)\frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{1}{M}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(e^\theta-1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}N_T^\epsilon\frac{1}{M}}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

which is uniform in $1 \le j \le TM$. By Chebychev's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le t\le T, |t-s|\le \frac{1}{M}} |Z_t^\epsilon - Z_s^\epsilon| \ge \delta\right) \\
&\le \sum_{j=1}^{MT} \mathbb{P}\left(N^\epsilon_{\frac{j}{M}} - N^\epsilon_{\frac{j-1}{M}} \ge \frac{\delta}{2\epsilon}\right) \\
&\le \sum_{j=1}^{MT} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{\theta}{2}(N^\epsilon_{j/M} - N^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M})}\right] e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}\frac{\delta}{2\epsilon}} \\
&\le MT e^{\frac{1}{2}(e^\theta-1)\phi(0)\frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{1}{M}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{(e^\theta-1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}\frac{1}{M}N^\epsilon_T}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}\frac{\delta}{2\epsilon}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

It follows from (3.28) that for any sufficiently small $\iota > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\iota N^\epsilon_T}] \le e^{C(\iota)\frac{1}{\epsilon}}, \tag{3.34}$$

where $C(\iota)$ is a positive constant that depends only on ι, α, $\|h\|_{L^1[0,T]}$, $\phi(0)$ and T.

Let γ be a sufficiently small fixed constant, independent of all the other parameters. We define $\theta := \log(1+\gamma M)$, and thus

$$(e^\theta - 1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}\frac{1}{M} = \gamma\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]} \tag{3.35}$$

is sufficiently small since γ is sufficiently small and we can apply (3.34) and the Chebychev's inequality and get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le t\le T, |t-s|\le \frac{1}{M}} |Z_t^\epsilon - Z_s^\epsilon| \ge \delta\right) \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}(e^\theta-1)\phi(0)\frac{1}{M} + \frac{1}{2}C\left((e^\theta-1)\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}\frac{1}{M}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\theta\delta.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Since $\theta = \log(1+\gamma M)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0} \epsilon \log \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le t\le T, |t-s|\le \frac{1}{M}} |Z_t^\epsilon - Z_s^\epsilon| \ge \delta\right) \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\phi(0)\gamma + \frac{1}{2}C\left(\gamma\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\log(1+\gamma M)\delta,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

which yields the desired result by letting $M \to \infty$. □

3.3 Proofs for Section 2.3

The proof of Theorem 17 relies on the proof of the local moderate deviation principle in Theorem 18 and the superexponential estimates in Lemma 19 and Lemma 20.

Proof of Theorem 18. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ be the probability measure under which N^ϵ is an inhomogeneous Poisson process with intensity $\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)$. Denote by

$$\eta^\epsilon(t) := Z_t^0 + a(\epsilon)\eta(t), \qquad \delta(\epsilon) := \delta a(\epsilon).$$

By changing the probability measure $\mathbb{P}$ to $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$, see the discussions about the change of measure and the Radon-Nikodym derivative in the proof of Theorem 12 and [27],

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left|\frac{Z_t^\epsilon - Z_t^0}{a(\epsilon)} - \eta(t)\right| \le \delta\right) \\
&= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^T \log\left(\frac{\phi\left(\int_0^{t-} h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right)}{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)}\right)dZ_t^\epsilon - \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^T\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right) - \phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)\right)ds} 1_{\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon - \eta^\epsilon(t)|\le\delta(\epsilon)}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing η in the proof of Theorem 12 by η^ϵ, we have firstly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^T \log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta^\epsilon(s)\right)\right)dZ_t^\epsilon - \int_0^T \log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta^\epsilon(s)\right)\right)d\eta^\epsilon(t)\right| \qquad (3.38)\\
&\le \sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon - \eta^\epsilon(t)|\frac{\alpha}{\inf_{x\ge 0}\phi(x)}(h(0) + \|h'\|_{L^\infty[0,T]})(\eta^\epsilon(T) + T\eta^\epsilon(T)) \\
&\quad + \sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon - \eta^\epsilon(t)|\left[|\log\phi(0)| + \frac{\alpha}{\inf_{x\ge 0}\phi(x)}\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}\eta^\epsilon(T)\right],
\end{aligned}$$

and secondly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^T \log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^{t-} h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right)\right)dZ_t^\epsilon - \int_0^T \log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta^\epsilon(s)\right)\right)dZ_t^\epsilon\right| \qquad (3.39)\\
&\le \frac{\alpha}{\inf_{x\ge 0}\phi(x)}\left[h(0) + \int_0^T |h'(t)|dt\right]\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon - \eta^\epsilon(t)|[\eta^\epsilon(T) + \delta],
\end{aligned}$$

and thirdly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^T \phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right)ds - \int_0^T \phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta^\epsilon(s)\right)ds\right| \qquad (3.40)\\
&\le T\alpha\left[h(0) + \int_0^T |h'(t)|dt\right]\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon - \eta^\epsilon(t)|,
\end{aligned}$$

and finally,

$$\left|\int_0^T \log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ^0(s)\right)\right)dZ_t^\epsilon - \int_0^T \log\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ^0(s)\right)\right)d\eta^\epsilon(t)\right| \tag{3.41}$$

$$\leq \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta^\epsilon(t)|\frac{\alpha}{\inf_{x\geq 0}\phi(x)}(h(0)+\|h'\|_{L^\infty[0,T]})(Z^0(T)+TZ^0(T))$$
$$+\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta^\epsilon(t)|\left[|\log\phi(0)|+\frac{\alpha}{\inf_{x\geq 0}\phi(x)}\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}Z^0(T)\right].$$

Thus, by (3.38), (3.39), (3.40), and (3.41), there exists a constant C such that for any $\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta^\epsilon(t)|\leq\delta(\epsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^T \log\left(\frac{\phi\left(\int_0^{t-}h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right)}{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)}\right)dZ_t^\epsilon\right.\right.\\
&\qquad\left.-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^T\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right)-\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)\right)ds\right]\\
&\quad-\left[\int_0^T\log\left(\frac{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta^\epsilon(s)\right)}{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)}\right)d\eta^\epsilon(t)\right.\\
&\qquad\left.\left.-\int_0^T\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta^\epsilon(s)\right)-\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)\right)ds\right]\right|\\
\leq&\frac{\delta a^2(\epsilon)C}{\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by a deterministic time change, we have

$$Z_t^\epsilon - Z_t^0 = Y^\epsilon(Z_t^0) = Y^\epsilon\left(\int_0^t\phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)ds\right), \tag{3.42}$$

where the first equality above holds in distribution, where $Y^\epsilon(t):=\epsilon\bar{N}_t^\epsilon - t$, and $\bar{N}_t^\epsilon$ is a standard Poisson process with constant intensity $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ under the probability measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$.

It is well known that, see e.g. [25], that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\frac{Y^\epsilon}{a(\epsilon)}\in\cdot)$ satisfies a large deviation principle on $D[0,Z_T^0]$, see e.g. [25], with the speed $\frac{a^2(\epsilon)}{\epsilon}$ and the rate function

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{Z_T^0}|\xi'(t)|^2dt, \tag{3.43}$$

for any $\xi\in\mathcal{AC}_0[0,Z_T^0]$ and $+\infty$ otherwise.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{a^2(\epsilon)}\log\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta^\epsilon(t)|\le\delta(\epsilon)\right)\\
&=\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{a^2(\epsilon)}\log\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-Z_t^0-a(\epsilon)\eta(t)|\le\delta a(\epsilon)\right)\\
&=\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{a^2(\epsilon)}\log\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Y^\epsilon(Z_t^0)-a(\epsilon)\eta(t)|\le\delta a(\epsilon)\right)\\
&=\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{a^2(\epsilon)}\log\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le Z_T^0}|Y^\epsilon(t)-a(\epsilon)\xi(t)|\le\delta a(\epsilon)\right)\\
&=-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{Z_T^0}|\xi'(t)|^2dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi(\cdot)$ is defined via $\xi(Z_t^0)=\eta(t)$, for every $0\le t\le T$, so that $\eta'(t)=(Z_t^0)'\xi'(Z_t^0)$ and

$$\int_0^{Z_T^0}|\xi'(t)|^2dt=\int_0^T|\xi'(Z_t^0)|^2dZ_t^0=\int_0^T\frac{|\eta'(t)|^2}{(Z_t^0)'}dt=\int_0^T\frac{|\eta'(t)|^2}{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)}dt.$$

Thus, we have

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{a^2(\epsilon)}\log\tilde{\mathbb{P}}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta^\epsilon(t)|\le\delta(\epsilon)\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\frac{|\eta'(t)|^2}{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)}dt.$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\delta\to 0}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{a^2(\epsilon)}\log\tilde{\mathbb{E}}\Bigg[e^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^T\log\left(\frac{\phi\left(\int_0^{t-}h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right)}{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)}\right)dZ_t^\epsilon-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^T\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon\right)-\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)\right)dt}\\
&\qquad\qquad 1_{\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-\eta^\epsilon(t)|\le\delta(\epsilon)}\Bigg]\\
&=\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{a^2(\epsilon)}\int_0^T\log\left(\frac{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta^\epsilon(s)\right)}{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)}\right)d\eta^\epsilon(t)\\
&\qquad-\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{a^2(\epsilon)}\int_0^T\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta^\epsilon(s)\right)-\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)\right)dt\\
&\qquad\qquad-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\frac{|\eta'(t)|^2}{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)}dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{a^2(\epsilon)}\int_0^T \log\left(\frac{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta^\epsilon(s)\right)}{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)}\right)d\eta^\epsilon(t)\\
&\quad-\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{a^2(\epsilon)}\int_0^T\left(\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta^\epsilon(s)\right)-\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)\right)dt\\
&=\int_0^T\frac{\phi'\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)}{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)}\eta'(t)dt\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\frac{|\phi'\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)\int_0^t h(t-s)d\eta(s)|^2}{\phi\left(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^0\right)}dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the conclusion of the Theorem 18 holds. □

Proof of Lemma 19. Let us recall that Z_t^ϵ satisfies the dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_t^\epsilon&=\int_0^t\int_0^\infty 1_{[0,\phi(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon)]}(z)\epsilon\pi^{\epsilon^{-1}}(dzds) \qquad (3.44)\\
&=M_t^\epsilon+\int_0^t\phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right)ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where M_t^ϵ is a martingale. Therefore, for any $0\le t\le T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|Z_t^\epsilon-Z_t^0|&\le\sup_{0\le t\le T}|M_t^\epsilon|+\int_0^t\left|\phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^\epsilon\right)-\phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ_u^0\right)\right|ds \qquad (3.45)\\
&\le\sup_{0\le t\le T}|M_t^\epsilon|+\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]\int_0^t\sup_{0\le u\le s}|Z_u^\epsilon-Z_u^0|ds.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Gronwall's inequality that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon-Z_t^0|\le\sup_{0\le t\le T}|M_t^\epsilon|e^{\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T}. \qquad (3.46)$$

For any $\theta > 0$, by Doob's martingale inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon - Z_t^0| \ge Ka(\epsilon)\right) \qquad (3.47)\\
&\le \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|M_t^\epsilon| e^{\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T} \ge Ka(\epsilon)\right)\\
&\le \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T} M_t^\epsilon e^{\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T} \ge Ka(\epsilon)\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad + \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}(-M_t^\epsilon) e^{\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T} \ge Ka(\epsilon)\right)\\
&\le \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{a(\epsilon)}{\epsilon}\theta e^{\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T} M_T^\epsilon}\right] e^{-\theta K\frac{a(\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon}} + \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\frac{a(\epsilon)}{\epsilon}\theta e^{\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T} M_T^\epsilon}\right] e^{-\theta K\frac{a(\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon}}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$R_t^\epsilon = \frac{a(\epsilon)}{\epsilon}\theta e^{\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T} M_t^\epsilon. \qquad (3.48)$$

Then R_t^ϵ is a martingale and $R_0^\epsilon = 0$. Moreover, $|\Delta M^\epsilon| \le \epsilon$ and

$$|\Delta R^\epsilon| \le c := a(\epsilon)\theta e^{\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T}. \qquad (3.49)$$

By Lemma 26.19 in Kallenberg [23], if M is a local martingale starting at 0 with $|\Delta M| \le c$ then $e^{M-b\langle M\rangle}$ is a supermartingale where $b = g(c) := (e^c - 1 - c)c^{-2}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[e^{R_T^\epsilon}] &= \mathbb{E}[e^{R_T^\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}g(2c)\langle 2R^\epsilon\rangle_T} e^{\frac{1}{2}g(2c)\langle R^\epsilon\rangle_T}] \qquad (3.50)\\
&\le \mathbb{E}\left[e^{2R_T^\epsilon - g(2c)\langle 2R^\epsilon\rangle_T}\right]^{1/2} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{g(2c)\langle 2R^\epsilon\rangle_T}\right]^{1/2}\\
&\le \mathbb{E}\left[e^{4g(2c)\langle R^\epsilon\rangle_T}\right]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-R_T^\epsilon}] \le \mathbb{E}\left[e^{4g(2c)\langle R^\epsilon\rangle_T}\right]^{1/2}. \qquad (3.51)$$

As $\epsilon \to 0$, it is easy to see that $c \to 0$ and $g(2c) \to \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, for sufficiently small ϵ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[e^{R_T^\epsilon}] &\le \mathbb{E}\left[e^{4\langle R^\epsilon\rangle_T}\right]^{1/2} \qquad (3.52)\\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{4\frac{a(\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon^2}\theta^2 e^{2\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T}\epsilon\int_0^T\phi(\int_0^t h(t-s)dZ_s^\epsilon)dt}\right]^{1/2}\\
&\le \mathbb{E}\left[e^{4\frac{a(\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon^2}\theta^2 e^{2\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T}\epsilon(\phi(0)T+\alpha\epsilon\int_0^T\int_0^t h(t-s)dN_s^\epsilon dt)}\right]^{1/2}\\
&\le e^{2\frac{a(\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon}\theta^2 e^{2\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T}\phi(0)T}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{4a(\epsilon)^2\theta^2 e^{2\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T}\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}TN_T^\epsilon}\right]^{1/2}\\
&\le e^{2\frac{a(\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon}\theta^2 e^{2\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T}\phi(0)T}e^{\frac{1}{2}C\left(4a(\epsilon)^2\theta^2 e^{2\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T}\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}T\right)\frac{1}{\epsilon}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $C(\theta)$ for small $\theta > 0$ is defined in the proof of the exponential tightness for the large deviation principle under the assumption that $\alpha\|h\|_{L^1[0,T]} < 1$ and the fact that $a(\epsilon)^2$ is sufficiently small as $\epsilon \to 0$. It is easy to check that for some $\bar{C} > 0$

$$\bar{C} := \limsup_{\theta\to 0}\frac{C(\theta)}{\theta} < \infty. \qquad (3.53)$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{a(\epsilon)^2}\log\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Z_t^\epsilon - Z_t^0| \ge Ka(\epsilon)\right) \qquad (3.54)\\
&\le 2\theta^2 e^{2\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T}\phi(0)T + 2\theta^2 e^{2\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]T}\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}T\bar{C} - \theta K.
\end{aligned}$$

The desired result follows by letting $K \to \infty$. □

Proof of Lemma 20. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $MT \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le t\le T,|t-s|\le\frac{1}{M}}|Z_t^\epsilon - Z_t^0 - Z_s^\epsilon + Z_s^0| \ge \delta a(\epsilon)\right) \qquad (3.55)\\
&\le \mathbb{P}\left(\exists j, 1\le j\le MT : \left|Z^\epsilon_{\frac{j}{M}} - Z^\epsilon_{\frac{j-1}{M}} - Z^0_{\frac{j}{M}} + Z^0_{\frac{j-1}{M}}\right| \ge \frac{\delta a(\epsilon)}{2}\right) \qquad (3.56)\\
&\le \sum_{j=1}^{MT}\mathbb{P}\left(\left|Z^\epsilon_{\frac{j}{M}} - Z^\epsilon_{\frac{j-1}{M}} - Z^0_{\frac{j}{M}} + Z^0_{\frac{j-1}{M}}\right| \ge \frac{\delta a(\epsilon)}{2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We can estimate that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|Z^\epsilon_{\frac{j}{M}} - Z^\epsilon_{\frac{j-1}{M}} - Z^0_{\frac{j}{M}} + Z^0_{\frac{j-1}{M}}\right| \qquad (3.57)\\
&\le |M^\epsilon_{j/M} - M^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M}| + \int_{\frac{j-1}{M}}^{\frac{j}{M}} \left|\phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ^\epsilon_u\right) - \phi\left(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ^0_u\right)\right| ds\\
&\le |M^\epsilon_{j/M} - M^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M}| + \frac{1}{M}\alpha\left[h(0) + \int_0^T |h'(t)|dt\right] \sup_{0\le t\le T} |Z^\epsilon_t - Z^0_t|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\left|Z^\epsilon_{\frac{j}{M}} - Z^\epsilon_{\frac{j-1}{M}} - Z^0_{\frac{j}{M}} + Z^0_{\frac{j-1}{M}}\right| \ge \frac{\delta a(\epsilon)}{2}\right) \qquad (3.58)\\
&\le \mathbb{P}\left(\left|M^\epsilon_{j/M} - M^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M}\right| \ge \frac{\delta a(\epsilon)}{2}\right)\\
&\qquad + \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{M}\alpha\left[h(0) + \int_0^T |h'(t)|dt\right] \sup_{0\le t\le T} |Z^\epsilon_t - Z^0_t| \ge \frac{\delta a(\epsilon)}{2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We can compute that for any $\theta > 0$, for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\left|M^\epsilon_{j/M} - M^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M}\right| \ge \frac{\delta a(\epsilon)}{2}\right) \qquad (3.59)\\
&\le \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(j-1)/M\le t\le j/M} (M^\epsilon_t - M^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M}) \ge \frac{\delta a(\epsilon)}{2}\right)\\
&\qquad + \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(j-1)/M\le t\le j/M} (-M^\epsilon_t + M^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M}) \ge \frac{\delta a(\epsilon)}{2}\right)\\
&\le \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta\frac{a(\epsilon)}{\epsilon}(M^\epsilon_{j/M} - M^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M})}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\theta\frac{1}{\delta}\frac{a(\epsilon)}{\epsilon}(M^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M} - M^\epsilon_{j/M})}\right]\right) e^{-\theta\frac{\delta}{2}\frac{a(\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon}}\\
&\le 2\mathbb{E}\left[e^{4\theta^2\frac{a(\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon^2}\epsilon\int_{\frac{j-1}{M}}^{\frac{j}{M}}\phi(\int_0^s h(s-u)dZ^\epsilon_u)ds}\right]^{1/2} e^{-\theta\frac{\delta}{2}\frac{a(\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon}},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last line uses (3.52). From here, we can further estimate that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\left|M^\epsilon_{j/M} - M^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M}\right| \ge \frac{\delta a(\epsilon)}{2}\right) \qquad (3.60)\\
&\le 2e^{4\theta^2\frac{a(\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon}\frac{1}{M}\phi(0)}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{2\theta^2 a(\epsilon)^2\frac{1}{M}\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}N^\epsilon_T}\right]^{1/2} e^{-\theta\frac{\delta}{2}\frac{a(\epsilon)^2}{\epsilon}},
\end{aligned}$$

which is uniform in j. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{a(\epsilon)^2}\log\mathbb{P}\left(\left|M^\epsilon_{j/M}-M^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M}\right|\geq\frac{\delta a(\epsilon)}{2}\right) \\ &\leq 2\theta^2\frac{1}{M}\phi(0)+2\theta^2\bar{C}\frac{1}{M}\alpha\|h\|_{L^\infty[0,T]}-\theta\frac{\delta}{2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

The choice of $\theta>0$ is arbitrary. Let us choose $\theta=\sqrt{M}$, then

$$\limsup_{M\to\infty}\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{a(\epsilon)^2}\log\mathbb{P}\left(\left|M^\epsilon_{j/M}-M^\epsilon_{(j-1)/M}\right|\geq\frac{\delta a(\epsilon)}{2}\right)=-\infty. \tag{3.62}$$

Finally, by Lemma 19,

$$\limsup_{M\to\infty}\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\epsilon}{a(\epsilon)^2}\log\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{M}\alpha\left[h(0)+\int_0^T|h'(t)|dt\right]\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|Z^\epsilon_t-Z^0_t|\geq\frac{\delta a(\epsilon)}{2}\right)=-\infty. \tag{3.63}$$

Hence, we have proved the desired result. □

Acknowledgements

Fuqing Gao acknowledges support from NSFC Grant 11571262 and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (Grant No. 20130141110076). Lingjiong Zhu is grateful to the support from NSF Grant DMS-1613164.

References

[1] Bacry, E, Delattre, S., Hoffmann, M. and Muzy, J. F. (2013). Scaling limits for Hawkes processes and application to financial statistics. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **123**, 2475-2499.

[2] Billingsley, P. (1999). *Convergence of Probability Measures*, 2nd edition. Wiley-Interscience, New York.

[3] Bordenave, C. and Torrisi, G. L. (2007). Large deviations of Poisson cluster processes. *Stochastic Models*, **23**, 593-625.

[4] Brémaud, P. and Massoulié, L. (1996). Stability of nonlinear Hawkes processes. *Ann. Probab.*, **24**, 1563-1588.

[5] Budhiraja, A., Chen, J. and Dupuis, P. (2013). Large deviations for stochastic partial differential equations driven by a Poisson random measure. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*. **123**, 523-560.

[6] Budhiraja, A., Dupuis, P. and Maroulas, V. (2011). Variational representations for continuous time processes. *Annales de I'Institut Henri Poincaré-Probabilités et Statistiques*. **47**, 725-747.

[7] Budhiraja, A., Dupuis, P. and Ganguly, A.(2016). Moderate deviation principle for stochastic differential equations with jumps. *Annals of Probability*. **44**, 1723-1775.

[8] Chevallier, J. (2015). Mean-field limit of generalized Hawkes processes. *arXiv:1510.05620*.

[9] Delattre, S. and Fournier, N.(2016). Statistical inference versus mean field limit for Hawkes processes. *Electronic Journal of Statistics*. **10**, 1223-1295.

[10] Delattre, S., Fournier, N. and Hoffmann, M. (2016) Hawkes processes on large networks. *Annals of Applied Probability*. **26**, 216-261.

[11] Dembo, A. and Zeitouni, O. *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*. 2nd Edition, Springer, New York, 1998.

[12] Djellout, H., Guillin, A. and Wu L. M. (1999). Large and moderate deviations for estimators of quadratic variational processes of diffusion. *Statistical Inference for Stochastic Processes*, **2**, 195-225.

[13] Feng, J. and Kurtz,T. G. *Large Deviations for Stochastic Processes*. American Mathematical Society, 2006.

[14] Gao, F. Q. and Wang, S. C. (2016). Asymptotic behaviors for functionals of random dynamical systems. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*. **34**, 258-277.

[15] Gao, F. Q. and Zhu, L. (2017). Precise deviations for Hawkes processes. *arXiv:1702.02962*.

[16] Gao, X. and Zhu, L. (2015). Limit theorems for linear Markovian Hawkes processes with large initial intensity. *arXiv:1512.02155*.

[17] Gao, X. and Zhu, L. (2016). Large deviations and applications for Markovian Hawkes processes with a large initial intensity. *arXiv:1603.07222*.

[18] Gao, X. and Zhu, L. (2016). A functional central limit theorem for stationary Hawkes processes and its application to infinite-server queues. *arXiv:1607.06624*.

[19] Hawkes, A. G. (1971). Spectra of some self-exciting and mutually exciting point processes. *Biometrika* **58**, 83-90.

[20] Hawkes, A. G. and Oakes, D. (1974). A cluster process representation of a self-exciting process. *J. Appl. Prob.* **11**, 493-503.

[21] Jaisson, T. and Rosenbaum, M. (2015). Limit theorems for nearly unstable Hawkes processes. *Annals of Applied Probability*. **25**, 600-631.

[22] Jaisson, T. and Rosenbaum, M. (2016). Rough fractional diffusions as scaling limits of nearly unstable heavy tailed Hawkes processes. *Annals of Applied Probability*. **26**, 2860-2882.

[23] Kallenberg, O. *Foundations of Modern Probability*. 2nd Edition. Springer, 2002.

[24] Lynch, J. and Sethuraman, J. (1987). Large deviations for processes with independent increments. *Ann. Probab.* **15**, 610-627.

[25] Mogulskii, A. A. (1993). Large deviations for processes with independent increments. *Ann. Probab.* **21**, 202-215.

[26] Revuz, D. and Yor, M. *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*. Springer, 3rd Edition, 1998.

[27] Sokol, A. and Hansen, N. R. (2015). Exponential martingales and changes of measure for counting processes. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*. **33**, 823-843.

[28] Takeuchi, A. (2017). Malliavin calculus for marked Hawkes processes. Preprint.

[29] Torrisi, G. L. (2016). Gaussian approximation of nonlinear Hawkes processes. *Annals of Applied Probability*. **26**, 2106-2140.

[30] Torrisi, G. L. Poisson approximation of point processes with stochastic intensity, and application to nonlinear Hawkes processes. to appear in AIHP.

[31] Varadhan, S. R. S. *Large Deviations and Applications*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1984.

[32] Zhu, L. (2013). *Nonlinear Hawkes Processes*. PhD thesis, New York University.

[33] Zhu, L. (2013). Central limit theorem for nonlinear Hawkes processes. *Journal of Applied Probability*. **50** 760-771.

[34] Zhu, L. (2013). Moderate deviations for Hawkes processes. *Statistics & Probability Letters*. **83**, 885-890.

[35] Zhu, L. (2014). Process-level large deviations for nonlinear Hawkes point processes. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré-Probabilités et Statistiques*. **50**, 845-871.

[36] Zhu, L. (2015). Large deviations for Markovian nonlinear Hawkes Processes. *Annals of Applied Probability*. **25**, 548-581.